

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-41 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 1-10 and 13-37 are withdrawn from consideration, claims 11-12 are amended and new claims 38-41 are added. Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

The Office Action rejects claims 11-12 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Camara et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,373,507) in view of Shnelvar (U.S. Patent No. 6,374,276). The rejection is respectfully traversed.

In particular, none of the applied references, alone or in combination, disclose or suggest a method for transmitting image data from an electronic camera to a computer apparatus or a method for transmitting data from a first electronic apparatus to a second electronic apparatus that includes receiving attribute information of the data, comparing the attribute information with attribute information recorded in an attribute data file in a recording device, issuing a request for the image data, preparing the image data upon receiving the request, receiving the image data to record in the recording device, and displaying an image at a display device based upon the image data thus recorded in the recording device, by the recording apparatus, as recited in independent claims 11 and 12. Support for this feature can be found in the specification at, for example, page 31, line 15 - page 33, line 12, page 38, lines 19-22 and Fig. 7.

Specifically, Camara teaches an image acquisition system with one or more imaging devices that is capable of capturing a digital image and storing the image in its memory, and an image device manager controls operation of the imaging devices (Abstract).

Shnelvar teaches a method of storing data from a data source in a storage device by reading all source allocation units, restructuring the data into data units having a size corresponding to the repository allocation units, and generating a hash value for the data of each data unit read from the data source (Abstract).

Accordingly, neither Camara nor Shnelvar, alone or in combination, disclose or suggest displaying an image at a display device based on the image data thus recorded in the recording device, as recited in independent claims 11 and 12. Although displaying data on a display device is not a novel feature in itself, none of the applied references disclose, suggest or render obvious displaying the data that is recorded in the manner specified in independent claims 11 and 12. As such, it would not have been obvious to combine the applied references to arrive at the claimed invention.

Moreover, for the same reasons as discussed above, new claims 38-41 are also patentable over the applied references.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of claims 1-41 are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



Mario A. Costantino  
Registration No. 33,565

Tarik M. Nabi  
Registration No. 55,478

MAC:TMN/tje

Attachment:  
Petition for Extension of Time

Date: November 3, 2005

**OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC**  
**P.O. Box 19928**  
**Alexandria, Virginia 22320**  
**Telephone: (703) 836-6400**

<p><b>DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION</b> Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461</p>
---